Planning Team Report

Speers Point Quarry

Proposal Title:

Speers Point Quarry

Proposal Summary:

To rezone a disused quarry site and surrounds from Industrial, Rural and Conservation zones

to Residential (11ha) and Conservation (69ha).

PP Number:

PP_2012_LAKEM_009_00

Dop File No:

12/13530

Proposal Details

Date Planning

20-Aug-2012

LGA covered :

Lake Macquarie

Proposal Received:

Hunter

RPA:

Lake Macquarie City Council

State Electorate:

LAKE MACQUARIE

Section of the Act

55 - Planning Proposal

LEP Type:

Region:

Spot Rezoning

Location Details

Street:

1A Raymond Street

Suburb:

Speers Point

City:

Postcode:

2284

Land Parcel:

Lot 1 DP 557315 and part of Lot 21 DP 790637

DoP Planning Officer Contact Details

Contact Name:

James Shelton

Contact Number:

0249042713

Contact Email:

james.shelton@planning.nsw.gov.au

RPA Contact Details

Contact Name:

Gabriele Calcagno

Contact Number:

0249210509

Contact Email:

gcalcagno@lakemac.nsw.gov.au

DoP Project Manager Contact Details

Contact Name:

Contact Number:

Contact Email:

Land Release Data

Growth Centre:

Release Area Name:

Regional / Sub Regional Strategy: Lower Hunter Regional

Strategy

Consistent with Strategy:

Yes

MDP Number:

Date of Release:

Area of Release (Ha)

11.00

Type of Release (eg

Residential

Residential /

Employment land):

No. of Lots:

150

No. of Dwellings

175

Gross Floor Area:

n

(where relevant):

No of Jobs Created :

n

The NSW Government Yes

Lobbyists Code of Conduct has been complied with:

If No, comment:

Have there been

Nο

meetings or

communications with registered lobbyists?

If Yes, comment:

Supporting notes

Internal Supporting

Notes:

Reuse of the former privately owned quarry site for residential purposes is a logical decision considering proximity to surrounding residential areas and the LES supports this step. Zoning the remaining land as Conservation will add to the existing environmental corridor in this locality and will safeguard the important ridge line of Munibing Hill.

The rezoning is consistent with previous study over the former heavy industrial area (Pasminco) that included this site.

External Supporting

Notes:

Adequacy Assessment

Statement of the objectives - s55(2)(a)

Is a statement of the objectives provided? Yes

Comment:

The objectives state the proposal clearly wants to zone the former quarry as residential and the remaining land conservation.

Explanation of provisions provided - s55(2)(b)

Is an explanation of provisions provided? Yes

Comment:

The explanation of provisions provdes clear instructions for the above objectives to be achieved via mapping amendments. It also includes mapping amendments that will transition the PP into the Template LEP (zone, lot size & building height).

Justification - s55 (2)(c)

- a) Has Council's strategy been agreed to by the Director General? No
- b) S.117 directions identified by RPA:
- 1.1 Business and Industrial Zones
- * May need the Director General's agreement
- 1.2 Rural Zones
 1.3 Mining, Petroleum Production and Extractive Industries
 - 3.1 Residential Zones
 - 3.4 Integrating Land Use and Transport
 - 4.1 Acid Sulfate Soils

4.2 Mine Subsidence and Unstable Land

4.4 Planning for Bushfire Protection

Is the Director General's agreement required? Yes

c) Consistent with Standard Instrument (LEPs) Order 2006: No

d) Which SEPPs have the RPA identified?

SEPP No 19—Bushland in Urban Areas

SEPP No 32—Urban Consolidation (Redevelopment of Urban Land)

SEPP No 55—Remediation of Land SEPP No 71—Coastal Protection

SEPP (Exempt and Complying Development Codes) 2008 SEPP (Housing for Seniors or People with a Disability) 2004

SEPP (Infrastructure) 2007

SEPP (Mining, Petroleum Production and Extractive Industries) 2007

e) List any other matters that need to be considered:

Have inconsistencies with items a), b) and d) being adequately justified? Yes

If No, explain:

Mapping Provided - s55(2)(d)

Is mapping provided? Yes

Comment:

Adequate - both existing 2004 LEP and SI Template Maps provided.

Community consultation - s55(2)(e)

Has community consultation been proposed? Yes

Comment:

28 Days

Additional Director General's requirements

Are there any additional Director General's requirements? No

If Yes, reasons:

Overall adequacy of the proposal

Does the proposal meet the adequacy criteria? Yes

If No, comment:

Proposal Assessment

Principal LEP:

Due Date: December 2012

Comments in relation

Council is to submit the S.68 Report to the Department by Dec 2012.

to Principal LEP:

Assessment Criteria

Need for planning proposal:

Council commenced the preparation of an LES in 2010 for the site and its surrounds. The LES concludes the site is suitable for reuse of residential and conservation purposes. The

Council support this recommendation.

The PP will result in much needed infill housing sites in Lake Macquarie.

Consistency with strategic planning framework:

LOWER HUNTER REGIONAL STRATEGY

This site was too small to be specifically identified in the LHRS. The proposal is however consistent with the intentions of the LHRS in providing infill opportunities close to centres etc. The loss of potential employment lands is considered separately in this report (s. 117 Direction 1.1).

LOWER HUNTER REGIONAL CONSERVATION PLAN

The PP is consistent with this Plan. The proposal to zone most of the site to conservation will add certainty to the role of the existing Munibung Hill Reserve as one of the major large and intact reserves in the north western end of the Lake.

LOCAL STRATEGIES

The PP is consistent with Council's Life Style 2020 local strategy. PP was assessed against the main planning principles of the strategy and found to be consistent. These principles include:

- A city response to its environment
- A well serviced and equitable city
- A well designed and livable city
- A city of progress and prosperity
- An easily accessible city.

The proposed planning outcomes are also consistent with the recommendations of the Munibing Hill Land Use Strategy (2005).

STATE ENVIRONMENTAL PLANNING POLICIES

The following SEPP's apply. The PP is consistent with their intended outcomes.

SEPP (Exempt and Complying Development Codes) 2008

SEPP (Housing for Seniors or People with a Disability)

SEPP (Affordable Rental Housing)

SEPP 19 - Bushland in Urban Areas

SEPP 32 - Urban Consolidation

SEPP 71 - Coastal Protection

SEPP (Infrastructure) 2007

SEPP (Mining, Petroleum Production and Extractive Industries) 2007

SEPP - BASIX

SEPP 55 - Remediation of Land. Whilst the PP is consistent with this SEPP, it is worth noting that a preliminary contamination report has been prepared as part of the EIS. Lead contaminants above safe levels were found and it is recommended that a detailed contaminated site investigation and remedial action plan be undertaken on the site. This can be undertaken as part of any future DA over the site.

117 DIRECTIONS

1.1 Business and Industrial Zones

The PP is inconsistent with this Direction as it rezones existing industrial land. The inconsistency is justified by a local study that considered this site within the wider area of the proposed redevelopment of the former Pasminco and Incitec Pivot - Heavy Industrial area. The rezoning of the majority of the site has recently been completed by zoning areas of Residential, Business, Mixed Use and Industrial.

The site is isolated from other employment nodes, surrounded by bushland and existing residential areas and is in an elevated position. The strategy recommends this site be used for residential purposes.

The PP seeks the DG's concurrence that the inconsistency is justified by the

abovementioned study.

1.2 Rural Zones

The site contains a small area of rural zoned land. The PP seeks the DG's concurrence that the inconsistency is justified as it is considered of minor significance.

1.3 Mining, Petroleum Production and Extractive Industries

The site proposes to rezone an disused quarry. Consultation with DPI was undertaken to determine the signifance of the remaining extractive resource. The response confirmed that the quarry is not regionally significant and not included in the latest version of the Mineral Resource Audit for the Region. DPI do not object to the PP progressing, hence the PP is consistent with this Direction.

The following additional 117 Directions were also assessed and the PP is considered to be consistent with these:

- 2.1 Environmental Protection Zones
- 2.2 Coastal Protection Zones
- 2.3 Heritage Conservation
- 3.1 Residential Zones
- 3.4 Integrating Land Use and Transport
- 4.1 Acid Sulphate Soils
- 4.2 Mine Subsidence and Unstable Land
- 4.4 Planning for Bushfire Protection

Environmental social economic impacts:

An appropriate reuse of this site. Council's Net Community Benefit Test and LES concludes that the site is suitable for this proposed development. Impacts are both acceptable and manageable.

A preliminary contamination report has been prepared as part of the EIS. Lead contaminants above safe levels were found and it is recommended that a detailed contaminated site investigation and remedial action plan be undertaken on the site. This can be undertaken as part of any future DA over the site.

Assessment Process

Proposal type:

Minor

Community Consultation

28 Days

Period:

Timeframe to make

9 Month

Delegation:

DG

LEP:

Public Authority

Consultation - 56(2)(d)

Is Public Hearing by the PAC required?

No

(2)(a) Should the matter proceed?

Yes

If no, provide reasons:

Resubmission - s56(2)(b): No

If Yes, reasons:

Identify any additional studies, if required.

If Other, provide reasons

Identify any internal consultations, if required:

No internal consultation required

Is the provision and funding of state infrastructure relevant to this plan? No

If Yes, reasons:

Existing urban (Industrial) zone to another urban use.

Documents

Document File Name	DocumentType Name	Is Public
Planning Proposal - Speers Point Quarry.pdf	Proposal	Yes
Covering letter - Speers Point Quarry.pdf	Proposal Covering Letter	Yes
Agency responses - Speers Point Quarry.pdf	Proposal	Yes
Council report - Draft LEP Amendment Speers Point	Proposal	Yes
Quarry.pdf		
Council resolution - Draft LEP Amendment Speers Point	Proposal	Yes
Quarry.pdf		
Trade & Investment letter - Speers Point Quarry.pdf	Proposal	Yes
26292-Final LES-23-12-11.RD.pdf	Study	Yes
1.Appendix 1.Agency responses130710.pdf	Study	Yes
2.Appendix 2 .Final Constraints & Opportunities	Study	Yes
Report-1-2-11.pdf		
Appendix 3 - Visual Assessment Report.pdf	Study	Yes
4.Appendix 4.Complete Speers Point cultural heritage	Study	Yes
report 27 5 2010.pdf		
5.Appendix 5.Flooding & Drainage Assessment (Final	Study	Yes
Jan 2011).pdf		
6.Appendix 6. 26292-Bushfire Threat	Study	Yes
Assessment_22-7-10.pdf		
Appendix 7 Limited Geotechnical Report.pdf	Study	Yes
8.Appendix 8.Traffic.Impact.Assessment_160610.pdf	Study	Yes
9.Appendix 9.Servicing Report_160610.pdf	Study	Yes
10.Appendix 10 Speers Point Social and Economic	Study	Yes
Impact May 2011_pmkh.RD.V2.pdf		
Addendum s.117 Direction 1.1 Business and Industrial	Proposal	Yes
Zones.pdf		
Pasminco Munibung Hill Land Use Strategy.pdf	Study	Yes

Planning Team Recommendation

Preparation of the planning proposal supported at this stage : Recommended with Conditions

S.117 directions:

1.1 Business and Industrial Zones

1.2 Rural Zones

1.3 Mining, Petroleum Production and Extractive Industries

3.1 Residential Zones

3.4 Integrating Land Use and Transport

4.1 Acid Sulfate Soils

4.2 Mine Subsidence and Unstable Land 4.4 Planning for Bushfire Protection

Additional Information:

The Planning Proposal should proceed subject to the following conditions:

1. Community consultation is required under sections 56(2)(c) and 57 of the Environmental Planning and Assessment Act 1979 ("EP&A Act") as follows:

(a) the planning proposal must be made publicly available for 28 days; and

(b) the relevant planning authority must comply with the notice requirements for public

exhibition of planning proposals and the specifications for material that must be made publicly available along with planning proposals as identified in section 4.5 of A Guide to Preparing LEPs (Department of Planning 2009).

- 2. No specific consultation is required with public authorities under section 56(2)(d) of the EP&A Act:
- 3. A public hearing is not required to be held into the matter by any person or body under section 56(2)(e) of the EP&A Act. This does not discharge Council from any obligation it may otherwise have to conduct a public hearing (for example, in response to a submission or if reclassifying land).
- 4. The Director General's concurrance for the inconsistency with s.117 Directions 1.1 Business and Industrial zones is granted as it is justified by a local strategy.
- 5. The Director General's concurrance for the inconsistency with s.117 Directions 1.2 Rural zones is granted as it is considered to be of minor significance.
- 6. The timeframe for completing the LEP is to be 9 months from the week following the date of the Gateway determination.

Supporting Reasons:

Supported by an LES, consistent with local strategies and the Lower Hunter Regional Strategy. An appropriate reuse of a former quarry site with positive and manageable social, economic and environmental outcomes.

Signature:

Printed Name:

Data